## Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur

## International Journal of converging technologies and Management

PAPER REVI	EW FOR	<u>RM</u>			
* Research Paper Title with Identification Nun	nber:				
❖ Please provide your judgment / Opinion regardi	ng the resea	arch pape	er under re	eview:-	
Assessment Scale	1	2	3	4	5
Technical Contents			- 8		
Research paper's Originality	1	-	*		
Clarity			35	3	
Importance		18	80		
Presentation Style	13		8	3	
Communication of Aim, Method and result			0)		
Is the article justified enough to be published in	the Iournal	2	Yes /	Jo.	-1
CHILDREN MEN AND SERVE AND	inc Journal		10371	10	
Quality of the Research Paper (Please Tick)					
Unacceptable Poor Average	Good	Excel	lent		
❖ Final Suggestions:-					
Research Paper is ACCEPTED /ACCEPTEI forpublication.	AFTER	MOD	FICATIO	ON / R	EJECTED
Provide comments in the space provided below:	1				

NOTE: The most important parts of your review are the written comments that will be transmitted to the author. On the following page, please give a straightforward assessment of the importance of the ideas and/or results presented in this paper. Your written comments should provide a thorough technical appraisal of the reported work. If the manuscript contains information that will be of interest to international readership, please indicate those parts of the manuscript that should be corrected before publication. Reviewers are also encouraged to suggest ways to improve the paper, even if it is acceptable in its original form.

## Reviewer's Comments:

٠	Originality: Does the paper contain new & significant information adequate to justify publication?
*	Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field & cite an appropriate range of sources? Is any significant work ignored?
*	Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?
	Results: Are results presented clearly and analyzed appropriately? Do the conclusions